

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
08/922,263	09/02/1997	ROBERT J. CROWLEY	BSME125003	1365	
26389	89 7590 11/02/2005		EXAMINER		
CHRISTENSEN, O'CONNOR, JOHNSON, KINDNESS, PLLC			SHAY, D	SHAY, DAVID M	
SUITE 2800	AVENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SEATTLE, V	VA 98101-2347		3735		

DATE MAILED: 11/02/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		The				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	08/922,263	CROWLEY, ROBERT J.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	david shay	3735				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	DN. timely filed m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Aug</u>	<u>ust 23, 2005</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1, 5, 7, 15, 17, 20-30, 32-44, 47, 48, 52, 53, and 63-65 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>20-30,32-44,47,48,52,53,64 and 65</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) <u>1,5,7,15,17 and 63</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement					
, <u> </u>	or oldshorr oquiroment.					
Application Papers		·				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	7					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documen		ation No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	ority documents have been receive	ved in this National Stage				
application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies not receiv	/ed.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 	Paper No(s)/Mail (5) Notice of Informal	Date I Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Office Action Summary

Art Unit: 3735

Newly submitted claims 64 and 65 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: the device as claimed could be used to apply light to the exterior of the body.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 31-39 are withdraw from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP 821.03.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1, 5, 7, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sinofsky in combination with Deak. Tucker teaches a method such as claimed except for the use of sonoluminescent light. Deak teaches a laser wherein the output light is generated by sonoluinescence. It would have been obvious to the artisan of ordinary skill to employ the laser of Deak in the method of Sinofsky, since Sinofsky teaches a variety of laser configurations, and since the laser of Deak is simple, requiring few parts and no optical fibers, or alternatively to employ the method of Tucker in the method of Deak, since this provides a medical application, and in either case to employ a filter, since this is a notorious device for reducing exposure to undesirable wavelengths, official notice of which has already been taken, thus producing a device such as claimed.

Claims 17 and 63 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sinofsky in combination with Deak as applied to claims 1, 5, 7, and 15 above, and further in view of Putterman et al. Putterman et al teach that the generation of x-rays occurs during

Art Unit: 3735

sonoluminescence and the use of lead zirconium titanate as a transducer material suitable for producing son luminescence. It would have been obvious to the artisan of ordinary skill to employ the transducers of Putterman et al, since these are capable of producing sonoluminesence and Deak teaches no particular transducer material, thus producing a device such as claimed.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 5, 7, 15, 17, and 63 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to david shay whose telephone number is (571) 272-4773. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday through Thursday from 6:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

Art Unit: 3735

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eleni Mantis-Mercader, can be reached on Monday, Tuesday, Thursday, and Friday at (571) 272-4740. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DAVID M. SHAY
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 330